6 May 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, Intelligence Community Staff

FROM:

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Minimum Computer Security Standards

1. I would like you to develop Community-coordinated minimum acceptable computer security standards. These would then be applied to any Community computer networks that we might develop. You might want to consider the DoD Computer Security Evaluation Center's criteria for computer security as a starting point.

2. Please advise how much time you think you will need to complete this effort.

25**X**1

∮ohn N. McMahon

25**X**1

SECRET

SECRET

Approved For Release 2009/08/06 : CIA-RDP89B01354R000100170003-0

INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED

DCI/ICS 83-4383 6 June 1983

	_			
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence		
25 X 1	FROM:	Director, Intelligence Community Staff		
	SUBJECT:	Minimum Computer Security Standards		
	REFERENCE:	Your Memorandum dated 6 May 1983; Same Subject		
25 X 1	difficult to det	n standards regarding computer security are probably the most termine. We need to balance a good understanding of the and the threat coupled with some agreed upon tolerable level do not have the knowledge or experience to do so at the present		
25X1	2. Formal computer standards generally require <u>five to seven years to</u> develop, to coordinate, and to introduce effectively into the infrastructure. In general, technical criteria are relatively easy to prepare and even to reach agreement upon. Policy implications (turf), compliance auditing, and cost (whose budget) are issues that take longer.			
25X1	3. I suggest a dual approach which will provide rapid improvements and will permit early applications, along with a longer term effort. The imposition of standards is expensive and, if they are mandatory, will be even more costly. This dual approach equates to setting near-term action priorities with specific follow-through on supporting the associated costs, and in a more deliberate pace, to develop and coordinate the more broadly applicable standard or standards through the existing mechanism of the Computer Security Subcommittee of SECOM under the applicable DCIDs.			
	 An appropriate An appropriate Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Ann	roach which appears practical and likely to reduce of "critical" systems on a "fast-track" basis would be llows:		
	a. Identify those few critical systems which must meet a set of mandatory standards; for systems not designated as critical, impose the standards as voluntary for a transition period. This will allow budgets to "catch up" with the costs of imposed standards.			
	b. Specify a set of vulnerabilities which by any criteria generate the greatest threat and highest risk; develop, promulgate and impose mandatory standards that will reduce these high threat and risk areas to an acceptable threshold.			
25X1				
	WARNING NOTI	1 P		

SECRET

_ Approved For Release 2009/08/06 : CIA-RDP89B01354R000100170003-0 •

25 X 1	c. Set up Task Groups to generate proposed policies and standards-mandatory in some cases, voluntary in others; as soon as the scope of each standard is sufficiently defined, determine schedule and costs of implementation and means for validating adherence.
	5. The vulnerabilities which generate the greatest risk are at the same time amenable to "quick-fix" improvements. The proposed areas to be considered are:
	a. Access Procedures
	 By individuals through terminals across networks to remotely located data bases.
	By individuals who directly access "computer centers" and data bases (either on-line or off-line).
	 By regular computer-to-computer transfer of information without scheduled manual checks.
	b. Dissemination or Security Control "Labelling" of Information
	- Upon entry to computer data bases.
	 When transmitted from or produced by computer systems for any purpose over any media.
	c. Dissemination or Security Control Accountability
25 X 1	Presently, there appears to be no automatic accountability system to monitor and serve as record keeper for computer- based information storage and retrieval systems. Such systems are an absolute necessity if any measure of electronic information security is to exist.
25 X 1	 Guidelines for such automatic accountability and requirements for developing automated accountability are the practical first surrogates for standards in this instance.
25X1	6. Existing organizational or committee structures can and should be used to develop these first highest priority set of Critical Electronic Information Security Standards and Guidelines. IHC, SECOM, CI, ISS, CSEC and the DIA DODIIS Office are among the best candidates for handling these tasks, and there is a natural split of responsibility among the proposed Critical Second Standards and Guidelines.

7. If the basic approach outlined above is acceptable to you, then some rst products could be off the drawing board in about 90 days. I would like discuss this further with you and to include. We will then proceed to get agreement from our newly formed ELINFOSEC eering Group (ESG). (U)		
	Kear Admiral, USN	

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D. C. 20505

NFIC-9.11/1 22 January 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

SUBJECT:

Reports on Computer Security for SCI-Handling Systems

- 1. The DCI's Computer Security (COMPUSEC) Project began in April 1983 and is intended to support the DCI in assessing the security of automated systems processing information derived from sensitive methods and sources, to identify the threats to automated systems processing such materials, and to recommend actions for the DCI that will allow him to attest to the acceptability of operating risks.
- 2. As part of the DCI's COMPUSEC Project, the COMPUSEC Project Team developed an assessment on the threat to US automated Intelligence Community systems (See Attachment 1). Representatives from the NFIC Community have provided input to this document. This formulation of the "threat" is being used in conjunction with security assessments of the Intelligence Community's "critical" automated SCI systems to set program and budget priorities for immediate security upgrades. This threat point paper also serves to fulfill one of the DCI's continuing distinctive responsibilities.
- 3. The SAFEGUARDS document (Attachment 2) identifies security requirements for the protection of SCI information in the "critical" systems evaluated as part of the DCI's Computer Security (COMPUSEC) Project. When fully implemented in the "critical" systems, the SAFEGUARDS will correct the security shortfalls and reduce to an acceptable level the risks currently associated with processing this sensitive information in the "critical" systems. I intend to direct that the SAFEGUARDS be imposed as mandatory standards for the 13 "critical" SCI-handling systems by the end of FY 86. These SAFEGUARDS will also be imposed as voluntary standards for other SCI-handling systems.
- 4. In June 1984, an interagency Computer Security Technology Panel was established to assess the application of computer security technologies against known operational deficiencies within Intelligence Community computer systems. The panel focused on what could be done, in the near term, with existing computer security technology and administrative/management actions to provide security upgrades for our "critical" systems. Specific emphasis was given to three areas of computer security vulnerability: authentication of

WARNING NOTICE
INTELLIGENCE SOURCES
OR METHODS INVOLVED

CL BY SIGNER DECL OADR

25X1

SUBJECT: Reports on Computer Security for SCI-Handling Systems

```
Distribution:
 Copy 1 - DCI (William J. Casey)
       2 - SecDef (Caspar W. Weinberger)
       3 - DDCI (John N. McMahon)
       4 - EXDIR/CIA (Jim Tavlor)
       5 - ASD(C^3I)
       6 - D/INR (Hugh Montgomery)
       7 - D/DIA (LtGen James A. Williams, USA)
       8 - D/NSA (LtGen Lincoln D. Faurer, USAF)
       9 - D/DNI (Rear Admiral John Butts, USN)
      10 - Assistant Director, Intel. Div., FBI (Edward J. O'Malley)
      11 - DOE/DAS, Intelligence (Charles Boykin)
      12 - Treasury (Douglas Mulholland)
      13 - Air Force, Under Secretary (Edward C. Aldridge, Jr.)
      14 - Army/ACSI (LtGen William E. Odom, USA)
      15 - Air Force/ACSI (MajGen James C. Pfautz, USAF)
      16 - USMC/DI (BG Lloyd W. Smith, USMC)
      17 - NSC (Ken deGraffenreid)
      18 - National Security Advisor (Robert McFarlane)
      19 - DUSD/P (Gen. Richard G. Stilwell, USA Ret.)
      20 - Justice Dept (Mary C. Lawton)
      21 - DOC (Irving P. Margulies)
      22 - Chm/IPC/CIA (Richard Kerr)
       1 - OS/C/ISSG
                                      (w/att 2 only--3 copies)
       1 - DIA/RSE
                                (w/att 2 only--15 copies)
       1 - State (Lynn McNulty) w/att 2 only--2 copies)
       1 - OSD (Gene Epperly) (w/att 2 only--3 copies)
       1 - SECOM
                           <u>) (</u>w/att 2 only--5 copies)
                              (w/att 2 only--5 copies)
       1 -
```